

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF MARCH  
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU**

-

**WRIT PETITION NO : 4597 of 2005**

Between:

G.Narendra Kumar, S/o.Late G.Govindu,  
Aged 29 years, S.No.1441418W, L/NK,

Rocket Regiment, C/o.56 APO.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1. The Union of India, rep.by its Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Rakshak Bhavan,  
New Delhi.

2. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,

rep.by its Secretary, Home Department, (Sainik Welfare)  
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

3. The Director, Sainik Welfare, 2nd floor, Block-IV,

Gruhakalpa Buildings, M.J.Road,  
Nampally, Hyderabad-01.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction in not granting relief to petitioner to the disabled inaction in OP-Vijay (Kargil Operation) in terms of G.O.Ms.No.189 dt.30-6-1999 as improper and unjust, further direct the respondents to grant of Rs.1,00,000/- lakh to the

petitioner who is disabled inaction in OP-vijay (Kargil Operation) in terms of the order issued by the 1st respondent.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.P.SRINIVASULU**

**Counsel for Respondent No.2: GP FOR HOME**

**Counsel for Respondent Nos.1 and 3: STANDING COUNSEL**

**The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed seeking a mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in not granting relief to the petitioner, who was disabled in the Kargil operation, in terms of G.O.Ms.No.189, dated 30-06-1999, as unjust and improper.

The third respondent has to take appropriate decision as to whether the petitioner is eligible for grant of any compensation in pursuance of G.O.Ms.No.189, dated 30-06-1999, issued by the second respondent.

Therefore, without entering into the merits of the case, I consider it appropriate to direct the third respondent to take appropriate decision and pass necessary orders on the representation said to have been made by the petitioner, which was acknowledged through the District Sainik Welfare Officer, Chittoor vide letter dated 30-12-2000, followed by his reminder letter dated 11-11-2000, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the above direction. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

---

11-03-2005.

**Note:**

**CC by 14-03-2005.**

**B/o Lrkm.**

To

1. The Union of India, rep.by its Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Rakshak Bhavan,  
New Delhi.

2. The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Home Department, (Sainik Welfare)  
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.

3. The Director, Sainik Welfare, 2nd floor, Block-IV,

Gruhakalpa Buildings, M.J.Road,  
Nampally, Hyderabad-01.

4. 2 CCs to the Government Pleader for Home, High Court

Buildings, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (O.U.T).

5. 2 CD copies.

6. 1 CC to MR.SRINIVASULU.

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{JA}*